



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,333	02/17/2004	Syed M. Karim	03-EDP-301	1099
7590	10/24/2006		EXAMINER	
Martin J. Moran Cutler-Hammer Technology & Quality Center 170 Industry Drive, RIDC Park West Pittsburgh, PA 15275-1032			KRAMER, DEAN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/780,333	KARIM ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dean J. Kramer	3652	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- NO FURTHER EXTENSION OF TIME IS AVAILABLE FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.**

 - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 September 2006.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) 8,9,16 and 17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-4,7,10-12,18 and 19 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 5,6 and 13-15 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/17/04

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of the species of Figures 2 and 3, readable on claims 1-7, 10-15, 18, and 19, in the reply filed on 9/25/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
2. Claims 8, 9, 16, and 17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 9/25/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tift et al. (4,072,335).

The patent to Tift et al. shows a lifting device for moving an object (14) having an elongated aperture (44) comprising a handle portion (10,20), an object engaging portion (38), and a stabilizing member (40). Regarding claim 7, the Tift et al. device is deemed

capable of handling certain sized panelboards so long as its object engaging portion (38) fits within an aperture on the panelboard.

5. Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Crittenden (4,964,664).

Crittenden shows a lifting device for moving an object (P) having an elongated aperture (24) comprising a handle portion (14 or 16), an object engaging portion (10), and a stabilizing member (2). Further, depending on the size and shape of a given panelboard, the Crittenden device would be capable of handling certain panelboards having at least one opening sufficiently sized to receive portion (10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1, 2, 7, 10-12, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art depicted in Figure 1 of the instant application in view of Dixon (6,415,475).

In Figure 1 of the instant application, a typical panelboard is shown as having side flanges with keyhole-shaped openings (20,22) therealong.

Dixon shows a removable lifting and carrying handle that can be releasably coupled to a structural member (28) having one or more openings (26). The Dixon handle comprises a handle portion (14,16), an object engaging portion (20), and a

stabilizing member (18) wherein the object engaging portion (20) extends through the opening in the object to be lifted while the stabilizing member (18) bears against an adjacent portion of the object to stabilize it during the lifting process.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a device similar to the Dixon handle for engaging a conventional panelboard (such as that shown in Figure 1 of the instant application) through one of its elongated openings (20,22) in order to provide a convenient handhold when attempting to carry the panelboard. In regard to claim 19, since a conventional panelboard usually contains at least two flanges (14,16) with holes (20,22), it would have been obvious to use at least two handles, one handle for each user, for lifting especially heavy panelboards.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 5, 6, and 13-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Each of the remaining cited patents shows a lifting device comprising a handle portion, an object engaging portion, and a stabilizing member.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dean J. Kramer whose telephone number is (571) 272-6926. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Dean J Kramer
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3652

djk
10/18/06